

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,352		09/28/2000 .	Clinton A. Staley	08822-050001	7756
22462	7590	07/01/2005		EXAMINER	
GATES &			WONG, ALLEN C		
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANG		-	2613		
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/672,352	STALEY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Allen Wong	2613				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	March 2005.					
· · ·		nis action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1,4-6,8-19,21 and 23-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-6,8-19,21 and 23-31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	ion Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen		_					
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 16 and 19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

A 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection should have been done in the previous rejection. Now, a new non-final rejection is presented below. Claim 19 is written in such a manner that a program storage media can be reasonably interpreted as containing instructions to be listed or written as a computer program coded language on a piece of paper. Because of the ambiguous nature of the claims as currently written in the preamble of claim 19, claim 19 needs to be produced in a tangible body, machine readable, and be non-function descriptive material, meaning that a compressed signal needs to be produced by a method, apparatus, arrangement or system. So claim 19 needs to be cancelled to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection. The preamble needs to disclose "a computer-readable storage media storing computer program including executable instructions, the instructions to cause a computer to:". See MPEP 706.03(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 19 is written in such a manner that a program storage media can be reasonably interpreted as containing instructions to be listed as computer program coded language on a piece of paper. Because of the

Application/Control Number: 09/672,352 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

ambiguous nature of the claims as currently written in the preamble of claim 19, claim 19 needs to be produced in a tangible body, machine readable, and be non-function descriptive material, meaning that a compressed signal needs to be produced by a method, apparatus, arrangement or system. So claim 19 needs to be cancelled to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection. The preamble needs to disclose "a computer-readable storage media storing computer program including executable instructions, the instructions to cause a computer to:". See MPEP 706.03(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-6, 8, 12-14, 16-19, 21, 23 and 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim (5,638,126) in view of Linzer (6,038,256).

Regarding claims 1 and 19, Lim discloses a program storage media storing computer executable instructions, the instructions to cause a computer to:

estimate forms of a plurality of functions, each function relating encoded size to encoded quality for each frame in a sequence of frames, each frame having data for an image (fig.1, element 10 is the controller connected to the buffer 120 that receives various sizes or amounts of frame image data encoded by coder 110, where a sequence of frames is sent through the encoding system of fig.1 in that since Lim's invention uses an MPEG encoder for encoding a plurality of images, I, P and B frames,

Application/Control Number: 09/672,352

Art Unit: 2613

each frame within that sequence of frames (GOP) have different sizes, and further, note quantization controller 10, there is a selector 160 that decides which quantization parameter to use on the evaluated frame(s) in order to properly allocate the number of bits to the evaluated frame(s) for efficient coding);

perform a search of all frames in the sequence of frames for a best quality value for encoding the sequence of frames whose encoded sizes satisfy one or more constraints, the constraints being associated with one or more of a transmission line bandwidth, a receiver buffer size and a total size constraint, the estimating a best quality value being based in part on the functions (fig.1, element 10 is the controller connected to the buffer 120 that receives various sizes or amounts of frame image data encoded by coder 110, where the process of generating the encoded data at an acceptable bit rate for transmission in that a recursive process is done to monitor the quality of the encoded bit frames by checking on the buffer fullness to determine the total size constraint, and note Qp adjuster 130 adjusts the quality of the encoded frames and element 160 selects the best quality value Qp, thus, best quality value is ascertained; see col.3, ln.47-53);

encode each frame of the entire sequence of frames with the best quality value (fig.1, note Qp adjuster 130 adjusts the quality of the encoded frames and element 160 selects the best quality value Qp, and coder 110 utilizes the information from quantization parameter deciding block 10 for coding with the best quality value);

determine whether each encoded frame satisfies the constraints (fig.1, note a recursive process is done to monitor the quality of the encoded bit frames by checking

on the buffer fullness to determine the total size constraint to determine whether the frame satisfies the constraints); and

if the encoded frames satisfy the constraints, order transmission of frames of the sequence (fig.1, note data from buffer 120 is transmitted to transmission for transmission of frames of the sequence of images).

Lim does not specifically disclose the prior to encoding any of the frames that performs a search of all frames in the sequence of frames for a best quality value. However, Linzer teaches that prior to encoding any of the frames, there is an execution of searching of all the frames prior to encoding any of the frames (fig.3, element 24 and col.5, In.63-67 and col.6, In.9-13 and In.25-26, note the statistics gatherer 24 obtains a search of all the frames from the video sources to obtain a best quality value prior to encoding any of the frames). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Lim and Linzer, together as a whole, for gathering all of the possible pre-encoding data so as to efficiently encoding high quality images in an accurate, precise manner (Linzer col.3, In.64 to col.4, In.13).

Note claims 4-6, 12-14, 21 and 26-31 have similar corresponding elements.

Regarding claims 8 and 23, Lim discloses the encoded frames are from a group of temporally encoded pictures (Lim's invention uses an MPEG encoder for encoding a sequence of images wherein the plurality of images are I, P and B frames, and that these are temporal).

Regarding claim 16, Lim discloses a system for encoding image frames, the system comprising:

a controller connected to receive data on sizes on image frames that are part of a sequence of image frames (fig.1, element 10), to be encoded by the encoder and to control quality of the encoded frames produced by the encoder based on:

an estimation of forms of a plurality of functions, each function relating encoding size to encoded quality for each frame in the sequence of frames (fig.1, element 10 is the controller connected to the buffer 120 that receives various sizes or amounts of frame image data encoded by coder 110, where a sequence of frames is sent through the encoding system of fig.1 in that since Lim's invention uses an MPEG encoder for encoding a plurality of images, I, P and B frames, each frame within that sequence of frames (GOP) have different sizes, and further, note quantization controller 10, there is a selector 160 that decides which quantization parameter to use on the evaluated frame(s) in order to properly allocate the number of bits to the evaluated frame(s) for efficient coding);

a search of all frames in the sequence of frames for a best quality value for encoding the sequence of frames whose encoded sizes satisfy one or more constraints, the constraints being associated with one of a bandwidth of a transmission line, space in a receiver buffer and a total compressed size (fig.1, element 10 is the controller connected to the buffer 120 that receives various sizes or amounts of frame image data encoded by coder 110, where the process of generating the encoded data at an acceptable bit rate for transmission in that a recursive process is done to monitor the quality of the encoded bit frames by checking on the buffer fullness to determine the total size constraint, and note Qp adjuster 130 adjusts the quality of the encoded frames

Application/Control Number: 09/672,352

Art Unit: 2613

and element 160 selects the best quality value Qp, thus, best quality value is ascertained; see col.3, In.47-53); and

a variable bit rate encoder controlled by the controller configured to encode each frame of the entire sequence of frames with the best quality value, wherein the controller is further configured to determine whether each encoded frame satisfies the constraints, and if the encoded frames satisfy the constraints, transmitting the sequence of encoded frames (fig., element 110 is the variable bit rate encoder controlled by the controller 10 connected to the buffer 120 that receives various sizes or amounts of frame image data encoded by coder 110, where the process of generating the encoded data at an acceptable bit rate for transmission in that a recursive process is done to monitor the quality of the encoded bit frames by checking on the buffer fullness to determine the total size constraint, and note Qp adjuster 130 adjusts the quality of the encoded frames and element 160 selects the best quality value Qp, thus, best quality value is ascertained; see col.3, ln.47-53).

Lim does not specifically disclose the *prior to encoding* any of the frames that performs a search of all frames in the sequence of frames for a best quality value. However, Linzer teaches that prior to encoding any of the frames, there is an execution of searching of all the frames prior to encoding any of the frames (fig.3, element 24 and col.5, ln.63-67 and col.6, ln.9-13 and ln.25-26, note the statistics gatherer 24 obtains a search of all the frames from the video sources to obtain a best quality value prior to encoding any of the frames). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Lim and Linzer, together as a whole, for

Art Unit: 2613

gathering all of the possible pre-encoding data so as to efficiently encoding high quality images in an accurate, precise manner (Linzer col.3, In.64 to col.4, In.13).

Regarding claim 17, Lim discloses wherein the controller is configured to determine a relation between quality of an encoded image frame and amount of encoded data from the received size data (col.3, In.47-53 and fig.1, note Qp adjuster 130 adjusts the quality of the encoded frames and element 160 selects the best quality value Qp based on the data obtained from the buffer 120).

Regarding claim 18, Lim discloses wherein the controller is configured to determine a best quality value for encoding an image frame from size data on data frames encoded with different qualities (fig.1, element 10 is the controller connected to the buffer 120 that receives various sizes or amounts of frame image data encoded by coder 110, where the process of generating the encoded data at an acceptable bit rate for transmission in that a recursive process is done to monitor the quality of the encoded bit frames by checking on the buffer fullness to determine the total size constraint, and note Qp adjuster 130 adjusts the quality of the encoded frames and element 160 selects the best quality value Qp; see col.3, In.47-53).

Claims 9-11, 15 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim (5,638,126) Linzer (6,038,256) and in view of Gonzales (5,231,484).

Regarding claims 9-11, 15 and 24-25, Lim does not specifically disclose wherein each instruction to estimate one of the forms, further causes the computer to: compute a plurality of pairs of encoded quality and encoded size values for each frame of the

sequence from encoded frame data; and determine a functional relationship between values of the encoded quality and the encoded size for the plurality of frames from the pairs of values. However, Gonzales teaches the calculation of the pairs of quantization parameters for each frame with their respective encoded size values (col.21, ln.3-33; note the QP_{low} has two different values calculated for the different values of the picture, where delta u is the upper limit and delta I is the lower limit for the allocation of bits for the picture or frame, and note that there is a function relationship between the values of the encoded quality and the encoded size of the frames as shown by formula for QP_{low}). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Lim and Gonzales, as a whole, for providing optimal visual quality when encoding picture or frame data in an accurate, efficient manner (Gonzales col.8, ln.29-38).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7341. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8am-6pm Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,352 Page 10

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

AW 6/27/05